

REMARKS

In the above-mentioned Office Action, all of the pending claims, claims 21-35, were rejected. Claims 21-35 were rejected under Section 102(e) over *Shin*. Additionally, objection was made to claim 34 for being dependent upon a canceled parent claim.

Responsive to the rejection of the claims, independent claims 21 and 35 have been amended, as set forth herein, in manners believed to distinguish better the invention of the present application over the cited reference.

With respect to method claim 21, the claim has been amended, now further to recite the operations of selecting and notifying. Claim 35 has been analogously amended, now also to recite a selector.

To the extent that the Examiner asserts that the method and apparatus, as now recited, is disclosed in *Shin*, such assertion is respectfully traversed.

There is no disclosure in *Shin* of a manner permitting a user of the wireless mobile device to select locking requirements of content that is provided to the wireless mobile device.

To the contrary, the sections relied upon by the Examiner, e.g., paragraph 24 of *Shin*, discloses different operation. As noted in paragraph 24, a description of operation of step 303 states that the drafter selects at least one locking method. This disclosed operation appears to differ with the operation recited in claim 21, as now amended. And, the structure, as now recited in amended claim 35, would also now appear to differ from that disclosed in *Shin*.


Remaining ones of the dependent claims have been amended responsive to amendments made to their respective parent claims. And, further amendment to claim 34 is believed to overcome the objection made thereto.

Appl. No. 10/029,159
Amdt. dated 13 Apr. 2004
Reply to Final Office Action of 13 Jan. 2004

In light of the foregoing, claims 21 and 35, and the remaining ones of the dependent claims dependent thereon, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reexamination and reconsideration for allowance of the claims is respectfully requested. Such early action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 13 April 04


Robert H. Kelly, Reg. No. 36,922
Attorney for Applicant

Scheef & Stone, L.L.P.
5956 Sherry Lane, Suite 1400
Dallas, Texas 75225
Tel: (214) 706-4200
Fax: (214) 706-4242